

The Honorable James L. Robart

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MEREDITH BEAGLE and JORDAN
GUERRERO, on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., and AMAZON.COM
SERVICES LLC,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-00316-JLR

**STIPULATED MOTION AND
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER FOR
CONSOLIDATION, FILING OF
CONSOLIDATED AMENDED
COMPLAINT, AND SCHEDULE FOR
ANSWER OR MOTION TO DISMISS.**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
APRIL 26, 2024

SOFAUNA JOHNSON, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.

Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-00424-JLR

**STIPULATED MOTION AND
~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER FOR
CONSOLIDATION, FILING OF
CONSOLIDATED AMENDED
COMPLAINT, AND SCHEDULE FOR
ANSWER OR MOTION TO DISMISS.**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
APRIL 26, 2024

STIPULATED MOTION

Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 10(g) and 42(b), and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6 and 42, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

1. The above-captioned proposed class actions (“*Beagle* and *Johnson*”) each allege claims against Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC (collectively “Amazon”)¹ based on Amazon’s alleged disclosure of customers’ personally identifiable information (“PII”) related to their use of Prime Video streaming services. *See Beagle v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00316-JLR, Dkt. 1 (“Beagle Compl.”); *Johnson v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00424-JLR, Dkt. 1 (“Johnson Compl.”). Plaintiffs in *Beagle* allege that such disclosures violate the Video Privacy Protection Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2710, and the Washington Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86 *et seq.* *See Beagle Compl.* The Plaintiff in *Johnson* alleges that such disclosures violate California Civil Code § 1799.3. *See Johnson Compl.*

2. Both cases are putative class actions with overlapping classes, in which the California class in the later-filed *Johnson* complaint consists of a subset of the nationwide class in the earlier-filed *Beagle* complaint. *See Beagle Compl.* ¶ 109 (defining putative class as “[a]ll persons nationwide who have rented, purchased, or streamed audiovisual content from Amazon Prime...”); *Johnson Compl.* ¶ 62 (defining putative class as “all persons in the State of California who have Prime Video and amazon.com accounts, and viewed videos on Prime Video”).

3. Recognizing the similarities between the cases, Amazon filed notices of related case in both *Beagle* and *Johnson* pursuant to LCR 3(g). *See Beagle* Dkt. 26; *Johnson* Dkt. 13.

4. Counsel for Amazon and counsel for the Plaintiffs in both *Beagle* and *Johnson* have conferred about consolidating the two actions. Plaintiffs and Amazon agree that consolidation of the *Beagle* and *Johnson* actions is appropriate because the actions involve materially similar allegations and consolidation will result in substantial efficiencies in managing both cases. *See Doe v. Fred Hutchinson Cancer Ctr.*, No. 2:23-cv-01893-JHC, 2024 WL 69881,

¹ The *Johnson* Complaint lists Amazon.com, Inc. as the defendant in the caption, but the body of the complaint and the civil cover sheet state that Plaintiff asserts her claims against Amazon.com Services LLC. *See Johnson Compl.*

at *1 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 5, 2024) (“Consolidation is appropriate [where] ... the [two] actions present common questions of law and fact and there are substantial efficiencies to be gained.”).

5. Because consolidation will require the filing of a consolidated amended complaint, the Parties have discussed and agreed upon a schedule for filing the consolidated amended complaint and a briefing schedule on Amazon’s anticipated motion to dismiss.

6. Based on the foregoing, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows, subject to the Court’s approval:

- The *Beagle* and *Johnson* actions shall be consolidated under the earlier-filed *Beagle* case number;
- Plaintiffs shall file a consolidated amended complaint within 30 days of the Court’s order granting this stipulation to consolidate;
- Amazon shall file its response within 30 days after Plaintiffs file the consolidated amended complaint;
- Plaintiffs’ opposition to any motion to dismiss by Amazon shall be due 30 days after the filing of Amazon’s motion to dismiss;
- Amazon shall file any reply in support of its motion to dismiss within 14 days after the filing of Plaintiffs’ response.

7. Further, since consolidation impacts the parties and counsel involved in the Rule 26 conferral, disclosures, and report, the Parties further agree and stipulate (subject to the Court’s approval) to continue the deadlines set forth in the *Beagle* Court’s Order Regarding Initial Disclosures, Joint Status Report, and Early Settlement (“Initial Scheduling Order,” Dkt. 28). The Parties stipulate and agree to vacate the deadlines in the Initial Scheduling Order and request that the Court issue a renewed Initial Scheduling Order after it resolves Amazon’s anticipated motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint, to the extent any claims survive.

8. The parties have previously sought and obtained one prior extension of time in this matter. The only deadlines or case event dates that will be impacted by this stipulated

extension concern the deadline for Amazon to respond to the Complaint and associated briefing schedule for any motion to dismiss, and the deadlines in the Initial Scheduling Order (Dkt. 28).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 24th day of April, 2024.

BURNS CHAREST LLP

By s/ Amanda K. Klevorn
Amanda K. Klevorn, *pro hac vice*
Korey A. Nelson, *pro hac vice*
Laura S. Seggerman, *pro hac vice*
365 Canal Street, Suite 1170
New Orleans, LA 70130
Telephone: (504) 799-2845
Facsimile: (504) 881-1765
Email: aklevorn@burnscharest.com
Email: knelson@burnscharest.com
Email: lseggerman@burnscharest.com

Cristina Delise, *pro hac vice*
757 Third Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (469) 904-4550
Facsimile: (469) 444-5002
Email: cdelise@burnscharest.com

DUNCAN LAW, PLLC

Shaquelle M. Duncan, WSBA #56701
410 SW 10th Street, Suite 215
Renton, WA 98057
Telephone: (206) 237-7714
Facsimile: (206) 238-1324
Email: duncans@duncanlawpllc.com

BRAGAR EAGEL & SQUIRE, P.C.

Melissa A. Fortunato, *pro hac vice*
580 California Street, Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 568-2124
Facsimile: (212) 304-0506
Email: fortunato@bespc.com

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

By s/ John Goldmark
John Goldmark, WSBA #40980
Lauren B. Rainwater, WSBA #43625
Erwin Reschke, *pro hac vice*
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98104-1610
Telephone: (206) 757-8136
Email: JohnGoldmark@dwt.com
Email: LaurenRainwater@dwt.com
Email: ErwinReschke@dwt.com

*Counsel for Defendants Amazon.com, Inc.
and Amazon.com Services LLC*

CARSON NOEL PLLC

By s/ Wright A. Noel
Wright A. Noel
20 Sixth Avenue NE
Issaquah, WA 98027
Telephone: (425) 837-4717
Facsimile: (425) 837-5396
Email: wright@carsonnoel.com

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

Joseph I. Marchese, *pro hac vice*
Phillip L. Fraietta, *pro hac vice*
1300 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (646) 837-7150
Facsimile: (212) 989-9163
Email: jmarchese@bursor.com
Email: pfraietta@bursor.com

1 Lawrence P. Egel, *pro hac vice*
2 Casey C. DeReus, *pro hac vice*
3 810 Seventh Avenue, Suite 620
4 New York, NY 10019
5 Telephone: (212) 308-5858
6 Facsimile: (212) 486-0462
7 Email: egel@bespc.com
8 Email: dereus@bespc.com

Brittany S. Scott, *pro hac vice*
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
Email: bscott@bursor.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Sofauna Johnson

6 *Counsel for Plaintiffs Meredith Beagle*
7 *and Jordan Guerrero*

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the Parties' above stipulated motion, the Court Orders that:

- *Johnson v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00424-JLR be consolidated with *Beagle et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 2:24-cv-00316-JLR.
- Plaintiffs in *Johnson* and *Beagle* are granted 30 days from the date of entry of this order to file a consolidated amended complaint.
- Amazon's deadline to respond to the Complaint is extended to 30 days after Plaintiffs file a consolidated amended complaint;
- Plaintiffs' response to any motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint is due 30 days after Amazon files any such motion, and Amazon's reply in support is due 14 days after Plaintiffs' response; and
- The deadlines in the Initial Scheduling Order (Dkt. 28) are hereby stricken, and will be reset by the Court after it rules on Amazon's motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 26th day of April, 2024.



The Honorable James L. Robart
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE